Maximising Synergy among Tropical Plant Systematists, Ecologists, and Evolutionary Biologists

Maximising synergy amongst tropical plant systematists, ecologists and evolutionary biologists

Timothy R. Baker*[,1], R. Toby Pennington*[,2], Kyle G. Dexter[2,3], Paul V.A. Fine[4], Helen Fortune-Hopkins[5], Euridice N. Honorio[6], Isau Huamantupa[7], Bente B. Klitgård[5], Gwil Lewis[8], Haroldo C. de Lima[7], Peter Ashton[9], Christopher Baraloto[10], Stuart Davies[11], Michael J. Donoghue[12], Maria Kaye[13], W. John Kress[14], Caroline Lehmann[3], Abel Monteagudo[15], Oliver L. Phillips[1] and Rodolfo Vasquez[15]

1. School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
2. Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
3. School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
4. Department of Integrative Biology and University and Jepson Herbaria, University of California, Berkeley, USA
5. Department for Identification & Naming, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, UK
6. Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonia Peruana, Iquitos, Peru
7. Jardim Botanico de Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
8. Department for Comparative Plant & Fungal Biology, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, UK
9. Harvard University, Cambridge, USA
10. International Center for Tropical Botany, Florida International University, Miami, USA
11. Center for Tropical Forest Science, Department of Botany, Smithsonian Institute, USA

12. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, USA

13. School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

14. National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC, USA

15. Jardin Botanico de Missouri, Oxapampa, Peru

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author: t.pennington@rbge.ac.uk (R.T. Pennington)

Keywords: beta diversity, global change, permanent plot, taxonomy, trait, tropical forest

Closer collaboration among ecologists, systematists and evolutionary biologists working in tropical forests, centred around studies within long-term permanent plots, would be highly beneficial for their respective fields. With a key unifying theme of the importance of vouchered collection and precise identification of species, especially rare ones, we identify four priority areas where improving links between these communities could achieve significant progress in biodiversity and conservation science: (i) increasing the pace of species discovery; (ii) documenting species turnover across space and time; (iii) improving models of ecosystem change; and (iv) understanding the evolutionary assembly of communities and biomes.

Linking ecology and systematics in the tropics

Systematics and ecology in the tropics each have a distinguished heritage, but there are significant bottlenecks to progress in both fields: for systematics, the slow pace of species discovery and description, and for ecologists, the difficulty of ensuring consistent and accurate species determinations within and among study sites. These problems prevent progress in addressing some of the most pressing questions in biodiversity science, such as how diversity is distributed in space, how it changes over time, and how it contributes to the resilience of tropical ecosystems to global change. Here, we present a question-driven justification for bringing systematists, ecologists and evolutionary biologists together, to complement recent work that has argued for specimen archiving [1, 2], or highlighted problems with identifications within existing collections [3].

The questions we identify and discuss below fall into two categories. Our first question relates to **taxonomy**: completing the formal description of tree species in tropical forests. In contrast, answering the final three ecological and evolutionary questions depends upon solving issues of species **identification**. Achieving consistent, precise and accurate identifications among tropical forest sites has been greatly facilitated by an increasing number of field guides, local floras, annotated checklists, taxonomic revisions, and monographs [e.g. 4]. In particular, the availability of automated, online tools that standardize spellings and catalogue synonyms for tropical plants has been a major step forward for improving datasets for large comparative analyses [5]. However, standardising spelling and nomenclature does not address the key assumption of comparative studies that species identifications are consistent and correct among sites. Uniform identifications are unlikely to be the case in many species-rich clades of

tropical tree, even with a committed effort by the ecological community, because species identification, especially of sterile vouchers, can be challenging (Box 1). This problem limits our capacity to make the reliable links, based on species names, among phylogenetic, functional trait, and inventory datasets that are required for large-scale comparative analyses. Overall, our broad aim is to suggest that the solution to these issues requires changes in how both individual researchers and collections-based institutions operate. We concentrate on tropical forest tree communities because they have been a focus of long-term ecological monitoring and their high species richness means that they are a priority for global biodiversity conservation. However, our arguments also apply more broadly to studies of other biomes and taxa, such as the diverse and poorly known grass flora of savanna ecosystems, taxonomically complex groups in temperate evergreen forests and comparative studies of insect diversity.

Question 1: how can we increase the pace of species discovery of tropical forest trees?

It is an embarrassment that estimates of the tree species richness of tropical forest regions rest on large extrapolations [6]. Forest plot inventories contain c. 5000 tree species ≥ 10 cm diameter in Amazonia [6], and in total ~11,600 tree species have been collected to date in this region [7]. However, based on extrapolations from plot data, approximately 16,000 tree species are estimated to occur in Amazonia [6], which means that ~5,000 tree species might await discovery. This proportion of undescribed species is consistent with recent taxonomic monographs of diverse neotropical rain forest tree genera where 20-40% of species are new to science [e.g. 8 - 10]. Whilst some of these new species might be surprisingly abundant (e.g.

Drypetes gentryana [11] and *Brownea jaramilloi* [12]; Box 2), in many cases their population sizes are likely to be small: ter Steege et al. [6] estimate that 62% of Amazonian tree species collectively comprise only 0.12% of trees in the Amazon.

Locating new species is like searching for a needle in a haystack, particularly because defining new species fundamentally relies on reproductive structures. In other words, not only is the challenge to find species that occur at low population densities in hyper-diverse forests, but also to collect these with flowers and/or fruit, rather than sterile (i.e. in leaf only). Given the often short and unpredictable phenologies of many tropical tree species, botanical expeditions can easily miss the reproductive period of species. As a result, collecting in permanent inventory plots has much to offer for of the discovery of tropical species (Box 2). In long-term plots (see Glossary), ecologists usually map and measure every individual tree above a certain diameter and collect specimens of rare and undescribed species. If permanent plots are revisited regularly over months and years, this increases the chance of collecting fertile specimens of previously-collected sterile individuals – particularly if the interaction between ecologists and systematists encourages the search for fertile specimens of specific taxa. Long-term plots also have the benefit of yielding rich information on morphological and ecological traits (e.g. bark type, plant size, edaphic preferences) and how these vary with ontogeny. Further, these sites provide an accessible resource as the basis of studies of population genetics of specific taxa [e.g. 13] which might also assist in the delimitation of species, in understanding the nature of widespread species, and in uncovering cryptic taxa [14].

We emphasise that permanent plots are not the only solution to completing the biological inventory of the tropics. New species will doubtless emerge from collecting expeditions to poorly-collected regions, such as the interfluves of the southwestern Brazilian Amazon [7], or by detailed study of existing collections, including genetic analysis [14]. Emerging technologies offer additional solutions. For example, even if herbarium voucher specimens from plots lack flowers and fruit, DNA sequence data and the technique of near-infrared (NIR) leaf-spectroscopy now offer insights into species identification and both of these techniques can help improve taxonomic consistency among sites. In addition, although neither was initially intended as a tool in the taxonomic process of defining species, both might be able to contribute to it. For example, applying multi-locus DNA barcoding [15-18] routinely across sites can help in highlighting potentially new species. DNA barcode data or sequences from other loci can also be incorporated by systematists into detailed molecular phylogenetic studies of specific clades, which routinely result in the clarification of species boundaries and discovery of new species [e.g. 19]. Although currently accepted standard barcode loci [20] might not always discriminate amongst closely related tropical plant species [21, 22], such problems can be overcome by application of additional, more variable loci derived from next-generation sequencing techniques, which also have the benefit of being able to work with highly degraded DNA from preserved plant specimens [23]. NIR might offer better species-level discrimination of tropical tree species than DNA barcoding [24] and works well with dried specimens, but assessing how useful it can be as a taxonomic tool requires further sampling of widespread species across their distributions. Such sampling is well-suited to permanent plot networks that sample broad environmental gradients.

Question 2. How does species composition vary across space and time?

Determining how diversity varies within and among tropical forests is a key question for defining conservation priorities and understanding how the taxonomic composition of these ecosystems might change in the future [25]. Addressing these issues requires consistent identifications among sites, both for all named species and for the inevitable proportion of stems within diverse tropical forests identified as morphospecies [26]. Of course, for ecological analyses of variables such as alpha diversity that focus on individual sites, documenting the patterns does not require standardisation of names across sites. However, comparative studies of composition and traits among sites require standardisation of both species concepts and nomenclature.

Studies of species turnover have typically dealt with these uncertainties by focusing on well-curated, relatively small-scale datasets [27], omitting poorly identified trees [28] or using higher taxonomic ranks, such as genera [29]. However, increasing the accuracy and consistency of species determinations would substantially improve our understanding of variation in the geographic distribution of individual clades, and allow us to explore whether climate change and disturbance are causing the taxonomic composition of intact tropical forests to converge, or diverge, among sites over time [25]. For example, even low error rates in identifications can shift our understanding of spatial patterns of species turnover within species-rich clades, such

as the legume genus *Inga* [19]. At the community level, linking changes in taxonomic diversity with associated changes in functional and phylogenetic diversity might allow us to understand the ecological mechanisms that are driving shifts, or maintaining stasis, in different dimensions of the biodiversity of tropical forests [30, 31].

Question 3. How can we ensure that trait-based models of tropical forests are correctly calibrated?

A key challenge for forecasting the future of tropical forests is predicting their resilience to climate change: will forest structure and composition be able to bounce back from short–term climatic extremes such as droughts, and keep pace with longer-term changes in temperature? Dynamic global vegetation models that have been used to address this question at broad scales provide a range of very different perspectives, hinting at either extensive 'dieback' [32] or an overall resilience of tropical forest carbon stocks to predicted climate change [33]. Biodiversity will play a vital role in determining which scenario is most accurate, because different species show a wide range of adaptations that might allow ecosystems to persist as climates change [34-36]. For example, over decadal timescales, gradual changes in the abundances of different species might buffer forests from abrupt changes in structure related to changing environmental conditions: in tropical forests in Ghana, an increase in the abundance of species adapted to drier climates during a 30-year drought actually led to an increase in overall forest biomass [37]. New modelling approaches seek to incorporate such effects of biodiversity by

using distributions of functional traits, rather than a small number of artificial fixed functional types, to capture how variation in species composition affects ecosystem function [35, 38]. Successful implementation of this approach therefore hinges on the effective use of field data from long-term plots and other measurements that collate quantitative information on plant function and performance (e.g. size, growth and mortality rates, foliar and wood structure and chemistry) of the full diversity of species. Fundamentally this requires a key focus on naming species consistently, because data on species abundances and traits might come from a range of independent sources (e.g., the TRY Plant Trait Database [39]), which are linked by species names. It is particularly important to ensure that rare species are consistently named as they might have rare traits that do not confer dominance under existing environmental conditions, but might provide resilience in the face of climate change. For example, amongst tropical forest trees in French Guiana and seedling communities in tropical forests in China, locally rare species have distinctive functional traits [40, 41], and make a disproportionate contribution to functional diversity [42].

Question 4. What are the processes that determine community assembly and the evolutionary history of tropical forests?

Accurate and consistent taxonomic naming of both common and rare species among disparate datasets are also required to advance studies of the mechanisms underlying community assembly and the evolutionary history of tropical forests. Phylogenies form an essential part of these studies and long-term plot networks firstly provide a resource of well-identified trees to

194 facilitate sampling to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships among species [17]. Subsequently
195 linking phylogenetic and functional data with information on species distributions within plots
196 allows inferences about the role of niche-based processes or dispersal limitation for
197 determining community composition [30, 43]. However, such studies require accurate and
198 consistent identification of species: studies of a single or small number of sites can avoid this
199 problem by collecting the full suite of trait, phylogenetic and abundance data from each site
200 [30, 43], but this strategy is unlikely to be possible or desirable for large comparative studies.
201
202 Analyses of trait evolution and diversification also require accurate identifications of all species
203 in phylogenies in order to score them with trait values. For example, multiple lineages of
204 Amazonian trees possess evolutionarily conserved characteristics such as short generation
205 times [44] which are associated with high diversification rates [45], and the evolution of
206 particular traits, such as preferences for different soil types, is associated with speciation in
207 some species-rich clades, such as *Protium* [46]. Understanding whether these relationships are
208 consistent across all tropical regions and clades of trees [47] requires consistent identifications
209 among phylogenetic and trait datasets – as well as among long term plots, as they provide data
210 for some of the key traits, such as demographic rates and species maximum size.
211
212 Finally, long-term plots have much to offer to our understanding of the nature of tropical tree
213 species and speciation. For example, variation in breeding systems has fundamental effects on
214 gene flow but remains poorly understood for many taxa of tropical trees [48] and there is a
215 great need for more studies to understand whether congeneric tropical tree species can

hybridise [49] and whether the resulting hybrid offspring are fertile. Long-term plots are ideal sites for such studies, which would ultimately shed light on the nature of tropical speciation and the maintenance of species co-existence in diverse communities [50].

Achieving these goals

We have argued that greater collaboration amongst systematists, ecologists and evolutionary biologists working in permanent inventory plots can speed the process of plant species discovery in the tropics, and address key scientific questions about species turnover, the resilience of tropical forests to climate change and the evolution of species-rich tropical floras.

Addressing the challenge of curating ecological vouchers

Better inter-disciplinary collaboration is key to addressing all the scientific questions raised in the first part of this article, but central to all of them is a need for accurate delimitation and identification of species, especially those that are rare. Reliable identifications will require the archiving of high quality voucher specimens representing all species in plots, i.e., a reference library of herbarium specimens, which needs to be openly accessible to the scientific community. This will require a significant cultural shift by ecologists, systematists, and collection managers. Ecologists need to focus on preparing high quality collections, while systematists and herbarium curators need to be more open to creating secure, long-term archives for ecological vouchers, which are often sterile, in major herbaria. The difficulty of

lodging vouchers from inventory plots in herbaria has created an unsatisfactory situation where ecologists are forced to keep vouchers in unsuitable conditions outside of formal collections (e.g. in their offices) where their long-term safety and endurance as voucher specimens cannot be assured. Further, such vouchers are not openly accessible, resulting in their identification being much less likely to be verified by taxonomists. If the ecological vouchers were openly available (as mounted specimens in major herbaria and as digitised images online, linked to the plot records), taxonomists could more easily highlight individuals of particular interest – for example, those representing possible new species or those connected to DNA barcode sequences and/or archived tissue samples – that should be a priority for future collection when found in flower and/or fruit.

Several of the authors of this paper work in collections-based institutions and are well-aware that adding an additional burden of ecological voucher specimens to collections would have considerable resource implications. In our view the numbers of vouchers to be archived is well within the capabilities of some major collections based upon their recorded growth in previous decades. For example, archiving a voucher representing every species in 321 plots monitored as part of the RAINFOR network [51], which have a mean richness of 152 species [52], would total just under 50,000 vouchers. This is a very small number when put in the context of the growth of the world's leading herbaria for tropical plants; for example, the Missouri Botanical Garden, grew from two million specimens in 1970 to six million in 2006. Images of vouchers can be made freely available online (see below), which might help to address the issue of accommodating vouchers in regional tropical herbaria, in particular. Overall, our purpose is to

highlight the significant scientific benefits of archiving plot-based vouchers – fertile or sterile. Accommodating vouchers from long-term plots should become a higher priority given the value of these specimens to systematists, ecologists, and evolutionary biologists alike. We are not advocating that all ecological vouchers should be archived, but that priority should be given to those from long-term, established monitoring networks. For other ecological projects, decisions on whether to archive vouchers will need to be made on a case by case basis by ecologists, evolutionary biologists and collections managers. Our hope is that this article will facilitate such collaborative discussions.

If more herbaria would accept ecological vouchers, even if sterile, this might encourage a cultural change amongst ecologists resulting in the preparation of better herbarium specimens and thus ensuring that their research includes funding required to collect them and to incorporate them into formal collections. Collections managers often comment that specimens from ecologists are poorly prepared and lack adequate field data on their labels. On the other hand, ecological labels almost always have precise geographic data and thereby reduce uncertainty when describing species distributions. If more herbaria were to accept ecological vouchers, this could encourage collection of specimens by ecologists that are well-prepared prior to acceptance by herbarium curators. Additionally, the presence of ecological vouchers in collections would encourage more taxonomists to visit plots, where they can easily locate numerous mapped and tagged individuals of species to study intra- and inter-specific morphological variation.

Using digital images to cross-check identifications

A key issue for the scientific questions we highlight is not just accurate identification of specimens from a single plot, but the consistency of identifications across plots and studies, which requires side-by-side comparison of voucher specimens. In this way, even if a species cannot be named (because, for example, it has not yet been described), one could be confident that two or more plots contain the same unidentified morphospecies. This suggests considerable advantages in concentrating voucher specimens in just a few major herbaria. However, this might not be necessary given that high-resolution specimen images are increasingly available online. Herbaria globally have invested heavily in imaging specimens (e.g., https://plants.jstor.org/), but with understandable initial emphasis on important historical collections, especially nomenclatural type specimens. Some plot networks have also started to place voucher images online [53, 54]. Development of workflows and software that can allow the on-screen comparison of multiple, high resolution, plot voucher specimens and images of living plants is an area ripe for collaboration between ecologists and systematists.

Conclusion

We have been arguing for the high value of housing voucher specimens from long term plots, and we have focused exclusively on plants, especially trees, which are long-lived. However, the

same logic would apply to herbaceous plants and other organisms in these plots (e.g., insects, [55]), with the caveat that re-collection of the same individual organism might be much more difficult or impossible for non-sessile organisms. We therefore extend our plea for the archiving of vouchers from long-term ecological inventory plots to other types of biological collections. Finally, we have focused here on tropical forests where biodiversity and ecosystem processes are perhaps least well understood, but our arguments apply in principle to all other forest types and even non-forest biomes. For example, consistently identified herbarium specimens from a wide range of sites have been critical for understanding how shifts in functional traits, in particular C3 and C4 physiology, impacts the breadth and dimensions of ecological niches [56]. We therefore advocate collecting and housing voucher specimens from long-term ecological studies across diverse biomes for the benefit of the broader communities of all ecologists, systematists and evolutionary biologists.

Acknowledgements

We thank the many funders who have supported botanical work within long-term plot networks in tropical forests, and in particular acknowledge NERC grant NE/I028122/1 (Niche Evolution of South America Trees) which encouraged collaboration of systematists, ecologists and evolutionary biologists and funded development of the online image library at ForestPlots.net. We also thank Alejandro Araujo Murakami, Luzmilla Arroyo, Fernando Cornejo, Bia Marimon, Percy Nuñez Vargas, Marcos Silveira, Wendeson Castro and Vincent Vos whose

323 botanical determinations underlie some of the data used in Figure 2. TRB acknowledges funding
324 from a Leverhulme Trust Research Fellowship (RF-2015-653).

Box 1. Evaluating identification success in complex groups of tropical trees

Consistent species identifications are challenging to maintain in dispersed networks of plots in diverse forests over time and space. This difficulty is related to variation in knowledge among field botanists in different regions and at different times, especially where new taxonomies have been published concurrently. Abundant, widespread species are likely to be identified successfully, particularly if they possess distinctive vegetative features which facilitate the identification of sterile collections (e.g. five of the ten most abundant species found in the RAINFOR plot network in Amazonia are arboreal palms [57] which are readily identified in the field). In contrast, rarer taxa, present particular challenges, especially if lacking key diagnostic morphological characters. However, few studies examine whether identifications of such 'difficult' groups vary in space, or over time, and determining whether current taxonomic knowledge has been appropriately applied is rare [e.g. 19]. We used an online image library hosted at ForestPlots.net [54] from tropical forest inventory plots in western Amazonia to explore uncertainties in identifications within eight clades of tropical trees which present difficulties in identification: *Andira, Apuleia, Inga*, *Parkia, Platymiscium, Poeppigia, Protium* and *Tachigali.*

Specialists in each group assessed the accuracy of the identifications of collections that had been made for these genera by eighteen different botanists across 60 plots during the last thirty years. In total, collections from 452 trees were examined online and their species-level identifications were assessed as correct or incorrect based on the voucher images. The

collections were originally identified as 77 different species. Overall, the results were encouraging: even in taxonomically difficult groups where species are often very rare, 75% of trees were correctly identified (Fig. 2). However, some lineages clearly present greater difficulties than others: within *Andira* and *Tachigali*, approximately 50% of trees are apparently misidentified (Fig. 2). Successful identification is not clearly related to the diversity of the genera or the frequency of botanical collection of these species (Fig. 2). Rather, achieving high levels of correct identification within particular groups is more idiosyncratic. Undoubtedly, in some groups, identification is very difficult with sterile material (e.g. *Tachigali*). For other groups, it might reflect that all species occur at extremely low density and are therefore unfamiliar to many field ecologists (e.g. *Andira*). In other cases, relative success might depend on the availability and knowledge of recent taxonomic studies, and the existing links between ecologists and systematists to transfer this knowledge (e.g. *Protium* and *Inga*).

Box 2. Using networks of long-term monitoring sites to increase taxonomic knowledge

There are several examples of the value of closely linking long-term monitoring with taxonomic studies to increase the pace of species discovery in tropical forests. For example, at the Jenaro Herrera Research Centre in Peru, two permanent plots, one 9-ha arboretum in upland forest and one 6-ha arboretum in seasonally flooded forest, have been established since the 1980s. In subsequent decades, numerous researchers have collected specimens from these sites. This repeated collection effort has resulted in the description of 26 new tree species (Table 1; Fig. 1, [58]). The descriptions of these new taxa have been based upon specimens that have been archived in herbaria internationally which made them widely accessible to the taxonomic community. A similar example comes from permanent plots established as part of a forest fragmentation project near Manaus, Brazil, where a taxonomic specialist identified potential new species of Sapotaceae from sterile plot vouchers in the early 1990s. The ecologists responsible for the plots re-visited them annually and finally collected these trees with flowers and fruit over the subsequent decade, resulting in the publication of 10 species new to science [59].

Most of the species that remain to be described are likely to be rare, but some might be both widespread and surprisingly common. For example, *Drypetes gentryana* was described in 2014 from a permanent plot in the Yanachaga Chemillén National Park on the eastern flank of the Andes in central Peru [11]. Previously collected sterile vouchers collected from other permanent plots have since demonstrated that this species is also found in aseasonal and

390 seasonal forests spanning >1000 km in lowland Peruvian Amazonia. The plot data also
391 demonstrate that this species occurs with local abundances of ≥2 stems per hectare, which
392 would classify the species as an 'oligarchic' taxon: both locally common (abundance of ≥1 stem
393 per hectare) and widespread [60]. This example demonstrates the benefit to taxonomists of
394 working with distributed permanent plots: the plot data provide information on the distribution
395 and local abundance of new taxa, which contributes to assessments of their ecology and
396 conservation status.
397
398
399

400 **Table 1.** The 26 new species of tree described using herbarium vouchers collected in Jenaro
401 Herrera, Peru.

Family	Species	Type citation
Anacardiaceae	*Thyrsodium herrerense* Encarn.	[61]
Annonaceae	*Klarobelia inundata* Chatrou	[62]
Araliaceae	*Schefflera megacarpa* A.H. Gentry	[63]
Arecaceae	*Oenocarpus balickii* F. Kahn	[64]
Calophyllaceae	*Haploclathra cordata* R. Vásquez	[65]
Caryocaraceae	*Caryocar harlingii* Prance & Encarn.	[66]
Ebenaceae	*Diospyros nanay* B. Walln.	[67]
Humiriaceae	*Vantanea spichigeri* A.H. Gentry	[68]
Lauraceae	*Endlicheria argentea* Chanderb.	[69]
Lauraceae	*Endlicheria citriodora* van der Werff	[70]
Lauraceae	*Mezilaurus opaca* Kubitzki & van der Werff	[71]
Lauraceae	*Ocotea immersa* van der Werff	[72]
Lauraceae	*Pleurothyrium acuminatum* van der Werff	[73]
Magnoliaceae	**Talauma rimachii* Lozano	[74]
Melastomataceae	*Miconia spichigeri* Wurdack	[75]
Melastomataceae	*Votomita pubescens* Morley	[76]
Meliaceae	*Carapa vasquezii* Kenfack	[77]
Meliaceae	*Trichilia tenuifructa* T.D. Penn.	[78]

Family	Species	Type citation
Moraceae	*Naucleopsis herrerensis* C.C. Berg	[79]
Ochnaceae	*Froesia diffusa* Gereau & R. Vásquez	[80]
Ochnaceae	*Quiina attenuata* J.V. Schneid. & Zizka	[81]
Primulaceae	*Cybianthus spichigeri* Pipoly	[82]
Rubiaceae	*Platycarpum loretensis* N. Dávila & Kin.-Gouv.	[83]
Sapotaceae	*Micropholis bochidodroma* T.D. Penn.	[84]
Sapotaceae	*Pouteria sessilis* T.D. Penn.	[84]
Urticaceae	*Pourouma herrerensis* C.C. Berg	[85]

*This name is now the basionym of *Magnolia rimachii* (Lozano) Govaerts.

410 **Figure legends**

411

412 **Figure 1.** (A) *Pourouma herrerensis*, a new tree species described from material from (B) the

413 nine hectare arboretum at Jenaro Herrera, Peru.

414 **Figure 2.** The percentage of correctly identified specimens within eight genera, as a function of

415 the total number of species that were originally identified within the collections for each genus.

416 Total number of collections examined in this study for each genus also shown.

Glossary

Demographic rates: The rates, usually per year, of recruitment and/or mortality of individual trees within a site, species or clade.

DNA barcoding: The use of sequences of standard regions of DNA as a tool for species identification. In plants, these regions are generally the plastid genes matK and rbcL [16].

Functional traits: The characteristics that influence individual plant survival and performance, such as how the plant delivers a specific function or responds to an external driver.

Trait-based vegetation model: The traditional approach to including variation in species composition in vegetation models has been through parameterising a limited number of different kinds, or functional types, of plant (e.g. evergreen and deciduous trees; C4 and C3 grasses). Such models typically simulate abrupt shifts in ecosystem function that correspond to sudden changes in vegetation type. More recently, a range of models have been developed that represent plant biodiversity in a community by incorporating the distribution of key traits, such as leaf nitrogen concentration and wood density, that are represented in that community. By linking these traits to key processes such as photosynthetic rate and mortality, the effect of more subtle changes in species composition as expressed by changes in the traits of the community can be explored, and potentially validated using permanent plot records. Such an approach promises to yield more nuanced predictions of the resilience and sensitivity of forests, tropical or otherwise, to climate change.

Morphospecies: Distinct morphological entities generally recognised based on vegetative characters. Typically, morphospecies are recognised by an individual researcher, at a given site, and morphospecies concepts are rarely standardised across researchers or sites.

Long-term plot: Permanent monitoring site where all trees of a defined minimum diameter are numbered, tree identity and diameters are recorded, and tree deaths and new recruits are noted in repeated censuses. For example, the RAINFOR, AfriTRON and T-FORCES networks of permanent plots in Amazonian, African and SE Asian tropical forests respectively, focus on widely distributed and replicated one hectare plots, using a minimum diameter of 10 cm. The CTFS Forest-Geo network comprises a global network of large, typically 50 hectare, forest plots that employ a minimum diameter of 1 cm. Monitoring networks can focus on a broad range of vegetation types including dry biomes (e.g. The Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network in Australia), or on management questions related to specific sites (e.g. The Three Parks Plot Network in Australia).

Systematics: A commonly used definition of systematics is the study of the kinds and diversity of organisms and their evolutionary relationships. The terms taxonomy and systematics are often used interchangeably, but here we use taxonomy in a more restricted sense as the part of systematics that deals with the description and identification of species.

Taxonomy: See systematics

References

1 Ward, D.F.*, et al.* (2015) More from ecologists to support natural history museums. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 30, 373-374

2 Schilthuizen, M.*, et al.* (2015) Specimens as primary data: museums and 'open science'. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 30, 237-238

3 Goodwin, Z.A.*, et al.* (2015) Widespread mistaken identity in tropical plant collections. *Current Biology* 25, R1066-R1067

4 Ribeiro, J.E.L.d.S.*, et al.* (1999) *Flora da Reserva Ducke*. INPA-DFID, Manaus, Brazil

5 Boyle, B.*, et al.* (2013) The taxonomic name resolution service: an online tool for automated standardization of plant names. *BMC Bioinformatics* 14, 16

6 ter Steege, H.*, et al.* (2013) Hyperdominance in the Amazonian tree flora. *Science* 342, 1243092

7 ter Steege, H.*, et al.* (2016) The discovery of the Amazonian tree flora with an updated checklist of all known tree taxa. *Scientific Reports* 6

8 Maas, P.J.M. and al., e. (2015) Confronting a morphological nightmare: revision of the Neotropical genus Guatteria (Annonaceae). *Blumea* 60, 1-219

9 Pennington, R. (2003) A monograph of *Andira* (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae). *Systematic Botanical Monographs* 64, 145

10 Klitgård, B.B. (2005) *Platymiscium* (Leguminosae: Dalbergieae): biogeography, morphology, taxonomy and uses. *Kew Bulletin* 60, 321-400

11 Vasquez, R. (2014) Una nueva especia de *Drypetes* Vahl. (Putranjivaceae) del Perú. *Arnoldoa* 21, 9-24

12 Pérez, A.J.*, et al.* (2012) *Brownea jaramilloi* (Leguminosae: Caesalpinioideae), a new, over-looked species endemic to the Ecuadorian Amazon. *Kew Bulletin* 68, 157-162

13 Honorio Coronado, E.N., *et al.* (2014) Ficus insipida subsp. insipida (Moraceae) reveals the role of ecology in the phylogeography of widespread Neotropical rain forest tree species. *Journal of Biogeography* 41, 1697-1709

14 Hughes, C.E., *et al.* (2004) *Maraniona*. A new dalbergioid legume genus (Leguminosae, Papilionoideae) from Peru. *Systematic Botany* 29, 366-374

15 Kress, W.J., *et al.* (2014) DNA barcodes for ecology, evolution, and conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 30, 25-35

16 Kress, W.J., *et al.* (2009) Plant DNA barcodes and a community phylogeny of a tropical forest dynamics plot in Panama. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106, 18621-18626

17 Erickson, D.L., *et al.* (2014) Comparative evolutionary diversity and phylogenetic structure across multiple forest dynamics plots: a mega-phylogeny approach. *Frontiers in Genetics* 5, 358

18 Gonzalez, M.A., *et al.* (2009) Identification of Amazonian trees with DNA barcodes. *PloS One* 4, e7483

19 Dexter, K.G., *et al.* (2010) Using DNA to assess errors in tropical tree identifications: how often are ecologists wrong and when does it matter? *Ecological Monographs* 80, 267-286

20 CBOL Plant Working Group (2009) A DNA barcode for land plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106, 12794-12797

21 Chen, J., *et al.* (2015) Testing DNA barcodes in closely related species of *Curcuma* (Zingiberaceae) from Myanmar and China. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 15, 337-348

22 Hollingsworth, M.L., *et al.* (2009) Selecting barcoding loci for plants: evaluation of seven candidate loci with species-level sampling in three divergent groups of land plants. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 9, 439-457

23 Hart, M.L., *et al.* (2016) Retrieval of hundreds of nuclear loci from herbarium specimens. *Taxon*, 10.12705/655.9

512 24 Lang, C.*, et al.* (2015) Near infrared spectroscopy facilitates rapid identification of both young
513 and mature Amazonian tree species. *PLoS One* 10, e0134521
514 25 Socolar, J.B.*, et al.* (2016) How should beta-diversity inform biodiversity conservation? *Trends in*
515 *Ecology & Evolution* 31, 67-80
516 26 Gomes, A.C.S.*, et al.* (2013) Local plant species delimitation in a highly diverse Amazonian
517 forest: do we all see the same species? *Journal of Vegetation Science* 24, 70-79
518 27 Tuomisto, H.*, et al.* (2003) Floristic patterns along a 43-km long transect in an Amazonian rain
519 forest. *Journal of Ecology* 91, 743-756
520 28 Honorio Coronado, E.N.*, et al.* (2009) Multi-scale comparisons of tree composition in
521 Amazonian terra firme forests. *Biogeosciences* 6, 2719-2731
522 29 ter Steege, H.*, et al.* (2006) Continental scale patterns of canopy tree composition and function
523 across Amazonia. *Nature* 443, 444-447
524 30 Swenson, N.G., *et al.* (2012) Temporal turnover in the composition of tropical tree communities:
525 functional determinism and phylogenetic stochasticity. *Ecology* 93, 490-499
526 31 Fukami, T., et al. (2005) Species divergence and trait convergence in experimental plant community
527 assembly. *Ecology Letters* 8, 1283-1290
528 32 Cox, P.M.*, et al.* (2004) Amazonian forest dieback under climate-carbon cycle projections for the 21st
529 century. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* 78, 137-156
530 33 Huntingford, C.*, et al.* (2013) Simulated resilience of tropical rainforests to CO2-induced climate
531 change. *Nature Geoscience* 6, 268-273
532 34 Oliver, T.H.*, et al.* (2015) Biodiversity and resilience of ecosystem functions. *Trends in Ecology &*
533 *Evolution* 30, 673-684
534 35 Sakschewski, B.*, et al.* (2016) Resilience of Amazon forests emerges from plant trait diversity.
535 *Nature Climate Change*, doi:10.1038/nclimate3109

536 36 Díaz, S.*, et al.* (2013) Functional traits, the phylogeny of function, and ecosystem service
537 vulnerability. *Ecology and Evolution* 3, 2958-2975
538 37 Fauset, S.*, et al.* (2012) Drought-induced shifts in the floristic and functional composition of
539 tropical forests in Ghana. *Ecology Letters* 15, 1120-1129
540 38 Fyllas, N.*, et al.* (2014) Analysing Amazonian forest productivity using a new individual and trait-
541 based model (TFS v. 1). *Geoscientific Model Development* 7, 1251-1269
542 39 Kattge, J., *et al.* (2011) TRY – a global database of plant traits. *Global Change Biology* 17, 2905-
543 2935
544 40 Mouillot, D.*, et al.* (2013) Rare species support vulnerable functions in high-diversity
545 ecosystems. *PLoS Biology* 11, e1001569
546 41 Umaña, M.N.*, et al.* (2015) Commonness, rarity, and intraspecific variation in traits and
547 performance in tropical tree seedlings. *Ecology Letters* 18, 1329-1337
548 42 Leitão, R.P.*, et al.* (2016) Rare species contribute disproportionately to the functional structure
549 of species assemblages. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 283, 20160084
550 43 Baraloto, C.*, et al.* (2012) Using functional traits and phylogenetic trees to examine the
551 assembly of tropical tree communities. *Journal of Ecology* 100, 690-70143
552 44 Coelho De Souza, F., *et al.* (2016) Evolutionary heritage influences Amazon tree ecology.
553 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283, 20161587
554 45 Baker, T.R.*, et al.* (2014) Fast demographic traits promote high diversification rates of
555 Amazonian trees. *Ecology Letters* 17, 527-536
556 46 Fine, P.V.*, et al.* (2014) Investigating processes of Neotropical rain forest tree diversification by
557 examining the evolution and historical biogeography of the Protieae (Burseraceae). *Evolution*
558 68, 1988-2004
559 47 Donoghue, M.J. and Sanderson, M.J. (2015) Confluence, synnovation, and depauperons in plant
560 diversification. *New Phytologist* 207, 260-274

561 48 Renner, S.S. (2014) The relative and absolute frequencies of angiosperm sexual systems:
562 dioecy, monoecy, gynodioecy, and an updated online database. *American Journal of Botany*
563 101, 1588-1596
564 49 Kamiya, K.*, et al.* (2011) Morphological and molecular evidence of natural hybridization in
565 Shorea (Dipterocarpaceae). *Tree Genetics & Genomes* 7, 297-306
566 50 Cannon, C.H. and Lerdau, M. (2015) Variable mating behaviors and the maintenance of tropical
567 biodiversity. *Frontiers in Genetics* 6, 183
568 51 Brienen, R., *et al.* (2015) Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink. *Nature* 519, 344-348.
569 52 Sullivan, M.J.P.*, et al.* (in press) Diversity and carbon storage across the tropical forest biome.
570 *Scientific Reports*
571 53 Engel, J.*, et al.* (2016) GuiaTreeKey: a multi-access electronic key to identify tree genera in
572 French Guiana. *PhytoKeys* 68, 27
573 54 Lopez-Gonzalez, G.*, et al.* (2011) ForestPlots.net: a web application and research tool to
574 manage and analyse tropical forest plot data. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 22, 610–613.
575 55 Hamilton, A.J., et al. (2010) Quantifying uncertainty in estimation of tropical arthropod species
576 richness. *The American Naturalist*, 176, 90–95.
577 56 Lundgren, M. R., et al. (2015) Photosynthetic innovation broadens the niche within a single
578 species. *Ecology Letters*, 18, 1021–1029.
579 57 Fauset, S.*, et al.* (2015) Hyperdominance in Amazonian forest carbon cycling. *Nature*
580 *Communications* 6, 6857
581 58 Honorio, E. (2006) Floristic relationships of the tree flora of Jenaro Herrera, an unusual area of
582 the Peruvian Amazon. MSc thesis, *Royal Botanic Gardens Edinburgh*, University of Edinburgh,
583 UK
584 59 Pennington, T.D. (2006) Flora da Reserva Ducke, Amazonas, Brasil: Sapotaceae. *Rodriguésia* 57,
585 251-366

586 60 Pitman, N.C*., et al.* (2013) Oligarchies in Amazonian tree communities: a ten-year review.
587 *Ecography* 36, 114-123
588 61 Encarnacion, F. (1984) *Thyrsodium herrerense* Encarnación, especie nueva de Anacardiaceae
589 del departamento de Loreto, Perú. Contribución al estudio de la flora y de la vegetación de la
590 Amazonia peruana. VI. *Candollea* 39, 1-4
591 62 Chatrou, L.W. (1998) *Changing genera: systematic studies in Neotropical and West African*
592 *Annonaceae. Veranderende genera: systematische studies in Neotropische en Westafrikaanse*
593 *Annonaceae*. PhD thesis, Utrecht University, The Netherlands
594 63 Gentry, A.H. (1981) New species and a new combination in Palmae, Theaceae, Araliaceae,
595 Apocynaceae, and Bignoniaceae from the Choco and Amazonian Peru. *Annals of the Missouri*
596 *Botanical Garden* 68, 112-121
597 64 Kahn, F. (1990) Las palmeras del Arborétum Jenaro Herrera (Provincia de Requena,
598 Departamento de Loreto, Perú). Contribución al estudio de la flora y de la vegetación de la
599 Amazonía peruana. XVII. *Candollea* 45, 341-362
600 65 Vásquez, R. (1993) Una nueva *Haploclathra* (Clusiaceae) de la Amazonia Peruana. *Novon* 3, 499-
601 501
602 66 Prance, G.T. (1987) An update on the taxonomy and distribution of the Caryocaraceae. Una
603 actualización sobre la taxonomía y distribución de las Caryocaraceae. *Opera Botanica.* 92, 179-
604 184
605 67 Wallnöfer, B. (1999) Neue Diospyros-Arten (Ebenaceae) aus Südamerika. *Annalen des*
606 *Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien. Serie B für Botanik und Zoologie* 101, 565-592
607 68 Gentry, A. (1990) A new species of *Vantanea* (Humiriaceae) from Amazonian Peru. Contribution
608 to the study of the flora and vegetation of Peruvian Amazonia: 20. *Candollea* 45, 379-380
609 69 Chanderbali, A.S. (2004) Endlicheria (Lauraceae). *Flora Neotropica Monograph* 91, New York
610 Botanic Garden, New York, USA.

70 van der Werff, H. (1991) New species of Lauraceae from Ecuador and Peru. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 78, 409-423

671 Van Der Werff, H. (1987) A revision of *Mezilaurus* (Lauraceae). *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 74, 153-182

72 van der Werff, H. and Vicentini, A. (2000) New species of Lauraceae from central Amazonia, Brazil. *Novon* 10, 264-297

73 van der Werff, H. (1993) A revision of the genus *Pleurothyrium* (Lauraceae). *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 80, 39-118

74 Lozano Contreras, G. (1994) *Dugandiodendron* y *Talauma* (Magnoliaceae) en el neotrópico. *No. Doc. 17066/v. 3) CO-BAC* 105, Bogota, Colombia

75 Wurdack, J.J. (1989) A new species of *Miconia* R. and P. (Melastomataceae) from Amazonian Peru. *Candollea* 44, 517-519

76 Morley, T. (1985) Five new taxa of new world Memecyleae (Melastomataceae). *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 72, 548-557

77 Kenfack, D. (2011) *Carapa vasquezii* (Meliaceae), a new species from western Amazonia. *Brittonia* 63, 7-10

78 Pennington, T.D. and Clarkson, J.J. (2016) A revision of American *Trichilia* (Meliaceae). *Phytotaxa* 259, 1-2

79 Berg, C.C. and Rosselli, P.F. (1996) New taxa and combinations in Moraceae and Cecropiaceae from Central and South America. *Novon* 6, 230-252

80 Gereau, R.E. and Vasquez, R. (1994) Una nueva *Froesia* (Quiinaceae) de la Amazónia occidental. *Novon* 4, 246-249

81 Schneider, J.V. and Zizka, G. (2003) Taxonomic novelties in the neotropical genus *Quiina* Aubl.(Quiinaceae). *Candollea* 58, 461-471

635 82 Pipoly, J. (1991) New species of *Cybianthus* subgenus Conomorpha (Myrsinaceae) from
636 Amazonian Peru. *Candollea* 46, 41-45
637 83 Dávila, N. and Kinoshita, L.S. (2016) A new species of *Platycarpum* (Rubiaceae, Henriquezieae)
638 from Peruvian Amazon. *Phytotaxa* 260, 276-282
639 84 Pennington, T.D. (1990) *Sapotaceae*. *Flora Neotropica Monograph* 52. New York Botanic
640 Garden, New York, USA.
641 85 Berg, C.C. (1989) *Pourouma herrerensis* CC Berg, a new species of Cecropiaceae from
642 Amazonian Peru. Contribution to the study of the flora and vegetation of Peruvian Amazonia:
643 15. *Candollea* 44, 513-516
644